

BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

MEETING OF THE CABINET

MONDAY 7TH APRIL 2014, AT 6.00 P.M.

THE COUNCIL HOUSE, BURCOT LANE, BROMSGROVE

SUPPLEMENTARY DOCUMENTATION

The attached papers were specified as "to follow" on the Agenda previously distributed relating to the above mentioned meeting.

- 3. To confirm the accuracy of the minutes of the meeting of the Cabinet held on 27th March 2014 (Pages 1 2)
- 4. Disposal of Council Owned Assets at George House and Hanover Street Car Park, Bromsgrove (Pages 3 - 12)

K. DICKS Chief Executive

The Council House Burcot Lane BROMSGROVE Worcestershire B60 1AA

4th April 2014

This page is intentionally left blank

BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

MEETING OF THE CABINET

THURSDAY, 27TH MARCH 2014 AT 4.00 P.M.

PRESENT: Councillors R. Hollingworth (Leader), M. A. Sherrey (Deputy Leader), D. W. P. Booth, M. A. Bullivant, C. B. Taylor and M. J. A. Webb

Observers: Councillors C. J. Bloore, R. L. Dent, L. C. R. Mallett, R. J. Shannon and S. P. Shannon

Invitees: Mr J. Page (District Valuation Services) and Mr J. Dillon

Officers: Ms S. Hanley, Ms J. Pickering, Mrs S. Sellers, Mr R. Savory, Mr M. Ashcroft and Ms R. Cole

100/13 **APOLOGIES**

There were no apologies for absence.

101/13 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest.

102/13 DISPOSAL OF COUNCIL OWNED ASSETS AT GEORGE HOUSE AND HANOVER STREET CAR PARK

The Deputy Monitoring Officer explained that originally part of the report on this issue was due to be considered as an "Exempt" matter.

Following further consideration and discussion with the Developer concerned and with a view to being as transparent as possible, it had been determined that the whole of the item could be considered in public (with the exception of the names of the proposed tenants). Therefore a revised report was circulated which reflected this approach.

Members considered a report on the revised proposals for the development of the Council owned site at Hanover Street Car Park and George House. The report set out the background to the proposals to market the site for the purposes of a mixed retail and leisure development. Members were reminded that approval had been given in October 2012 for the granting of a long term lease to the developer Opus.

It was reported that since the previous decision had been taken and the project had progressed there had been changes to the proposed scheme which had an impact on the size of the area of land to be disposed of and the

Cabinet 27th March 2014

financial implications. This current report was therefore setting out the details of the final version of the scheme to enable Members to consider re-affirming the agreement to the lease of the land to Opus.

Members requested clarification on the financial implications of the proposal including details of the arrangements for car parking income and the valuation of the site.

Mr J. Page from the District Valuation Services gave details of the method by which the site valuation had been reached, including projected car parking income to be generated. The Section 151 Officer confirmed that in light of the District Valuer's report she was satisfied that the Capital Receipt of £700,000, the projected car parking revenue to be generated and the increase in valuation of the site represented would achieve value for money for residents.

It was also noted that Opus had been successful in securing an offer of grant funding of £700,000 from the Greater Birmingham and Solihull Local Enterprise Partnership. The development would obviously be subject to the necessary planning permissions being obtained.

Members were in support of the proposals in principle and welcomed the progress made towards development of the site to include a cinema and restaurant units together with a retail provision. It was felt however that the income to be generated from car parking was a key factor and Members requested that the car parking figures provided by the developer be validated by the Council's Officers and that a final decision be deferred until this had been undertaken as a matter of urgency.

<u>RESOLVED</u> that consideration of the report be deferred to a meeting of the Cabinet to take place on Monday, 7th April 2014 and that in the meantime, officers be requested to undertake validation work on the car parking figures and projected income to be anticipated therefrom.

The meeting closed at 4.30 p.m.

<u>Chairman</u>

CABINET

07 APRIL 2014

DISPOSAL OF COUNCIL ASSETS AT HANOVER STREET CAR PARK AND GEORGE HOUSE

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

1. <u>SUMMARY</u>

- 1.1 Members will be aware that the report on this matter was deferred at Cabinet on 27th March 2014 to allow officers to carry out further validation of the data on which the projected car parking income had been based.
- 1.2 Officers have now had the opportunity to validate the car parking income data. To allow time for the work to be carried out, it was not possible for that information to be ready to be published until 4th April. Accordingly attached to this update members will find the following documents:-
 - Letter from Helen Tiffney of the Worcestershire Internal Shared Audit service
 - Amended report from the District Valuer John Page and updated Appendix D

Members are asked to note that the sole change in the updated version of the District Valuers report is that value of the retained car park area is placed at \pounds 1,450 000 (one million four hundred and fifty thousand pounds). Officers have only reproduced Appendix D as there has been no change to the other appendices.

1.3 Members are asked to note the additional comments of the section 151 officer in relation to car parking income.

Car Parking income

Following the Cabinet meeting on 27th March 2014, officers have liaised with the Worcestershire Internal Audit team to request a full validation of the projected income for the new development. This work has been undertaken and their formal statement is attached.

During the Internal Audit review the assumptions made by the developers in assessing the income levels have been discussed and a number of revisions made which have resulted in a slight increase to the total income.

Assumptions have been made to include:

- An actual hourly rate of 80p per hour rather than an average of £1 per hour
- All income based on a 24 hour charging policy
- Sunday parking to be assessed at a similar level as Monday – Thursday
- Income generated from occupancy between 17.00 09.00 to be at standard trip rates
- Income generated from occupancy between 9.00 17.00 to be at 50% capacity
- Income to be reduced to reflect the fee charged for managing the car park

Based on this information and with a full review by Internal Audit the gross income generated from the car park including the new site is estimated at £262k. For valuation purposes this reduces to £196k when VAT and management fee is deducted.

The revised valuation (as shown in Appendix D of the District Valuers report) is \pounds 1.450m. This is slightly higher than the previous valuation as the income projections have increased. This reflects an \pounds 670k increase to the current valuation of \pounds 780k.

AUTHOR OF REPORT

Name: Jayne Pickering E Mail:jpickering@bromsgroveandredditch.gov.uk Tel:01527 881207

Worcestershire Internal Audit Shared Service

Bromsgrove District Council

Car Park Accumulation Analysis - Hanover Street

Basis of work undertaken

The work included assessing the reasonableness of the information in relation to accumulation figures and testing of the calculations on a random basis in line with the Worcestershire Internal Audit Shared Service Methodology which requires a minimum sample of 20. 100% of calculations were checked in relation to the £0.80 tariff used in the final calculation.

The work also undertook to assess the assumption made in relation to car park occupancy in the final calculation.

Conclusion

The final calculation has been based on reasonable information and this has been carried forward correctly into the final calculation. The final assumption on occupancy is prudent in the current economic climate.

pulen

Helen Tiffney BA (Hons) ACA

Lead Auditor Worcestershire Internal Audit Shared Service This page is intentionally left blank



Block A **Government Buildings** Whittington Road Worcester **WR5 2LB**

Tel

03000 507471 Fax 03000 507706

Your Reference : RS Our Reference : OGD 1392918/JRNP Please ask for : Mr Page

Date : 13 December 2013

Dear Richard,

Richards Savory Esq

Programme Manager Bromsgrove District Council

Burcot Lane

B60 1AA

BROMSGROVE

Bromsgrove Town Centre Regeneration

BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL THE MARKET HALL SITE, HANNOVER STREET, BROMSGROVE

I refer to your emailed instructions dated 11 November 2013. I am pleased to report as follows:-

BACKGROUND Bromsgrove District Council is considering the sale of the above land for redevelopment. I have been asked to provide my opinions of the current market value of the land on various bases. INSTRUCTIONS I have been instructed to provide the following:- My opinion of the current market value of the site for development as a general, retail led, mixed use scheme in accordance with the Area Action Plan - See Appendix A attached. 2. A comparison of the current proposed scheme with the now defunct Scheme of 2012 -See Appendix B attached. 3. Provide a check of the Opus development appraisal and my opinion of the value of the site in accordance with that scheme - See Appendix C attached and My opinion of the value of the car park having regard to any increased revenue due to the scheme - See Appendix D attached. DEFINITION OF MARKET The basis of valuation for the land adopted is Market VALUE Value which is defined in the RICS Valuation -Professional Standards (March 2012) as:-

DVS is the commercial arm of the Valuation Office Agency 1631 This paper originates from sustainable managed forests and is chlorine free ۱



	"The eslimated amount for which an asset or liability should exchange on the valuation date between a willing buyer and a willing seller in an arm's-length transaction after proper marketing wherein the parties had each acted knowledgeably, prudently and without compulsion."
CONFLICT OF INTEREST	I have provided advice to the Council on this site previously, but I am not aware that any conflict of interest arises from the present instruction.
COMPETANCY	I confirm that I have the skills and knowledge to provide the advice required.
SITUATION AND DESCRIPTION	The land to be sold is well known to the Council and so I will not set out a full description in this report.
	Briefly, the site comprises a 70's office block (George House) and a level cleared site (formerly the market hall) and a small area of car park for the standalone retail pod.
	The site is close to the town centre and it is shown, for identification purposes only, coloured green, pink, orange and purple on the attached plans. The boundaries have not been checked.
SITE AREA	I am informed that the site area to be leased (excluding the retained car park) is 0.32 hectares (0.79 acres) or thereabouts.
TENURE	I have assumed that a long leasehold interest for a term of 250 years with the benefit of vacant possession will be granted.
EASEMENTS, RESTRICTIONS, OUTGOINGS AND CHARGES	I have assumed that there are no easements, restrictions, outgoings or charges adversely affecting the site.
LIABILITY FOR CHANCEL REPAIRS	I have assumed that the site does not have any liability for contributions to Chancel Repairs or, in the alternative, that insurance is available to indemnify an owner, should any liability exist.
REPAIR AND CONDITION OF BUILDINGS	As George House is to be demolished, I have not inspected it or undertaken a building survey and cannot state that it is fee from any rot, insect infestation or any other defect.
	There are no other buildings on the site.
PLANNING	The site is allocated in the Area Action Plan for regeneration with a retail led, mixed use development.
	A scheme was been put forward by Opus Land for development with a store, 3 retail units, with a cinema above and a separate "pod" style development for coffee shop style operators.
	See Appendix A for full details.
	I have assumed, for the purposes of this report that planning permission for this proposed scheme would be

forthcoming.

	It should be noted that the Local Planning Authority have stated that planning permission for either a standalone unit or for single storey retail units at the end of the High Street would not be recommended for approval.
ENVIRONMENT AND CONTAMINATION MATTERS	I have not undertaken an environmental survey and cannot state that the site is free from contamination or noxious weed infestation etc.
	However, for the purposes of this report, I have assumed that there are no problems arising from these items.
SITE STABILITY	I have assumed that the site does not suffer any site stability problems. It is not situated in a mineralised area.
ABNORMAL DEVELOPMENT COSTS	I have assumed that there no abnormal development costs would be incurred in the development of the land. In particular, I have assumed that there are no mains service diversions required.
FLOODING	I have assumed that the site is not subject to flooding.
VALUATION DATE	The date of valuation is 13 December 2013.
SERVICES	I have assumed that site has the benefit of all mains services to the boundary.
ACCESS	I have assumed that the site has full rights of access for all purposes from the public highway.
OPINIONS OF VALUE	My opinions of Value are shown in the attached Appendices, which are an integral part, and should be read in conjunction with, this Report.
	I set out in summary form my opinions of value below:-
	Appendix A My opinion of the current market value of the site at the valuation date for development as a general, retail led, mixed use scheme in accordance with the Area Action Plan is the sum of
	£630,000 (six hundred and thirty thousand pounds sterling).
	Appendix B See the Appendix for the comparison with previous
	Appendix C See this Appendix for a check of the Opus development appraisal.

My opinion of the value of the site at the valuation date in accordance with the Opus scheme assuming that the maximum Grant Funding is awarded is the sum of

3

£1,400,000 (one million, four hundred pounds sterling).

Appendix D

My opinion of the value of the car park having regard to any increased revenue due to the Opus scheme.

I am of the opinion that the value of the retained car park area at the valuation date, on the special assumption that the Opus scheme as proposed is completed is the sum of

£1,450,000 (one million, four hundred and fifty thousand pounds sterling).

I am of the opinion that the value of the retained car park area at the valuation date, on the assumption that the Opus scheme does not go ahead, is the sum of

£780,000 (seven hundred and eighty thousand pounds sterling).

It should be clearly understood that the Opus scheme is dependent on receiving grant funding from a Local Enterprise Partnership. The total amount applied for is $\pounds1,550,000.$

The receipt of this amount enables the developer to offer to pay the Council the sum of £1.4m for the site.

Without the grant, the Opus scheme as presented is not viable and the developer will seek to reduce the price payable to the Council for the site by any shortfall in funding.

In the worst case scenario of no funding being provided, the sum payable to the Council for the site to provide the scheme would be Nil.

However, in that event, the developer has offered to pay a minimum sum of £100,000 (one hundred pounds sterling).

The developer also proposes that, if only a proportion of the grant is made available, the price payable for the site will be reduced pro rata.

I take this to mean that, if 50% only of the sum applied for is available, the price payable for the land will be reduced by 50% to £700,000.

The opinions of value above are provided in accordance with the definition of market value contained within the Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors Valuation -Professional Standards (March 2012).

In considering my opinions of value, I have had regard to the following factors:-

GRANT FUNDING

BASIS OF OPINION

CURRENT MARKET CONDITIONS

	 The development land market is experiencing a slight revival at the present time. With the restriction of bank lending, finance and funding had been extremely scarce and potential purchasers did not show an appetite for investment. Whilst the market has improved since 2008, developers are still approaching schemes with caution.
	 I anticipate that the next stage of present economic cycle will result in a small increase in general demand, with in a continued improvement in the market.
TERMS OF ENGAGEMENT	This report should be read in conjunction with my terms of engagement, a copy of which is in your possession.
VAT	My opinions of value above and shown on the Appendices are exclusive of any VAT that may be payable.
LEGAL AND OTHER COSTS	I have assumed that each side would be responsible for its own legal and other costs in the sale.
VOA COMPLAINTS HANDLING PROCEDURE	The Valuation Office Agency has a Complaint's handling procedure, the details of which are set out in the Terms of Engagement that I sent to you after being instructed.
LIABILITY	This report has been prepared for the express purposes of the Council considering the sale of the site. It should not be relied on by any third party for any purpose whatsoever.
PUBLICATION	This report should not be published in any form without my express permission as to the form and context in which it is to appear.
VALIDITY	This report should not be considered valid for more than 6 months from the date hereof, nor if the circumstances alter.

The Council may wish to consider whether this report contains Exempt Information within the terms of Paragraph 9 to Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act 1972 (Sections 1 and Part 1 of Schedule 1 to the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985), as amended by the Local Government (Access to Information) (Variation) Order 2006.

Should you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact me.

J R N Page BSc (Est Man) FRICS RICS Registered Valuer Principal Valuer & Sector Leader District Valuer Services

5

Appendix D to DV report dated 13 Dec 2013 Consideration of the car park Income

General

It has now been agreed that the car park area be retained as a freehold site by the Council and that all car parking revenues be retained.

The proposed scheme of the retail units, because of the unit and cinema will have a significant increase in the current income from parking. I have been provided with estimated income after the development is complete, and, assuming a 24 hour charging period and an average charge of £0.80 per hour, the projected figures show an increase in gross income from the development of £262,810 pa.

The net income (after the deduction of VAT and management costs) are a) for the current Car park - £78,180 pa and b) for the projected income after the development is complete - £196,868.29 pa - an increase of over double the present income.

The figures for the projected car park income have been prepared by the developer's consultants and verified by the Council. As I am not qualified to comment on their accuracy, but have assumed, for the purposes of this report that they are correct.

Current Value of the Car park

I estimate that the current value of the car park (ignoring the proposed scheme) is a sum in the region of £780,000.

Assuming an income of £196,868.29, receivable in 2 years time, the value of the car park on completion of the proposed development is a sum in the region of £1,450,000.

The increase in value of the car park is of course dependent on the scheme and the Council stand to gain a significant increase in income and capital value only if it goes ahead.

Thus in considering the value of the site for the development scheme, it is not practicable to look at the site of the developed area (the retail and cinema areas) in isolation, as that scheme relies on a successful application for grant aid to enable the viability gap caused by the cinema to be closed.

If the development scheme is considered in conjunction with the retained car park land, the Council stand to benefit from an increase in capital value in the region of £670,000, together with any underlying site value from the scheme area.

This Appendix should is part of, and should be read in conjunction with, my report dated 13 December 2013 – Reference OGD 11392918/JRNP